

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FII | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/884,002 | 06/18/2001 | | Yasumitsu Ito | 5576-126 | 3393 | |
| 20792 | 7590 | 11/03/2003 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC | | | | ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND | | |
| PO BOX 374 RALEIGH, | | 7 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | | • | • | 1745 | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> | | | ah3 |
|--|---|--|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 09/884,002 | ITO, YASUMITSU | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Raymond Alejandro | 1745 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this application and indication of the same of the contract which are the same of the contract which are the contract | ation. A proper reply n places the applica | y to a tion in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | - | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official inely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official inely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF). | Brief must be filed within the pe | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | тито арроат. | |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further | | see NOTE helow): | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | | 500 110 12 BC(0W), | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | · | rially reducing or sir | nplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claim | s. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | reconsideration has been consideration. | dered but does NO | Γ place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo | (s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo | ☐ will be entered a w or appended. | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | • | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)□ approved or b)□ disapp | roved by the Exami | ner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 1 | 0. | |
| 0. Other: | , p==================================== | _ | |
| - | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Patent and Trademark Office | | | |





Continuation of 2. NOTE: new issues: (claims 1 & 11) a) the specific structural arrangement of the cation/anion exchange membranes, the catalyst layers and the electrodes as recited in claims 1 and 11; and b) the specific placement of the gas channel as recited in claims and 11.